Lecture 6 \blacksquare . The contract of the Template Matching and Feature Detection

Part - Edge detection

- general scheme of edge detection
- gradient operators
- \bullet compass operators
- \bullet Laplace and zero-crossing operators
- \bullet post-processing
	- o non-maxima suppression
	- o thresholding with hysteresis

Filtering Post-processing

Edge magnitude

General scheme of edge detection Edge magnitude is the strength of edgeeger is gradient Edge map is a binary edge image.

c- Orientations

d- Edge map

Example of edge detection by 3×3 size Prewitt mask: (a) original image; (b) gradient image; (c) edge orientation image showing intensity-coded orientation angles; (d) final edge map.

Gradient Operators - Operators

$$
Magnitude = \sqrt{f_x^2 + f_y^2}
$$

$$
Direction = \arctan \frac{f_x}{f_y}
$$

Here (f_x, f_y) is the gradient vector formed by the partial derivatives of intensity $f(x, y)$. Direction is the direction, Magnitude the magnitude of the gradient vector. The gradient is orthogonal to the edge.

The magnitude is sometimes approximated by $|f_x| + |f_y|$. This is raster but amsotropic. amplines the skewed gradients $(\pi/4, \beta \pi/4, \ldots)$ by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$.

Edge detection and gradient for a piece of straight contour

edge orientation and international contraction and intensity provision and international contraction and international

 $\overline{3}$

In discrete images, the gradient is approximated by finite differences. Various *convolution* masks are used:

Boxed element indicates location of origin. $G_{1,2}$ are Λ, I components

- of gradient, the presence where skewed components are used are used Roberts Too simplified, non-symmetric, noise sensitive.
- \bullet Prewitt. Smoothed, less noise sensitive, symmetric, fast, but anisotropics and the directions amplitude to the set of the s
- \bullet bobel. As Prewitt, but the weights reflect proximity to origin.

 $\overline{4}$

 \bullet Isotropic. As Sobel, but corrected for anisotropy. Less fast.

designing a gradient mask for X direction-

$$
\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}
$$

a a

 \blacksquare . The contract of the

Constraints:

1. Symmetry between left and right:

$$
a_{1j} = a_{3j}
$$
 for $j = 1, 2, 3$

2. Antisymmetry of edge intensity profile (not necessary):

 -1

$$
a_{i1} = -a_{i3}
$$
 and $a_{i2} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$

3. No response to flat regions:

$$
\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} = 0
$$

Constraint 2 implies constraint 3. Constraints 1 and 3 are more commonly used than constraint 3.

Designing a 3×3 gradient edge detector using symmetries of the X mask. Left: symmetry between left and right. Right: Antisymmetry of edge intensity profile. produced a series of the contract of the contr

If constraints 1 and 2 are applied, only two free parameters remain:

$$
|a_{11}| = |a_{13}| = |a_{31}| = |a_{33}| \equiv p \ge 0
$$

$$
|a_{21}| = |a_{23}| \equiv q \ge 0
$$

The mask becomes

 \mathbf{L} $\begin{bmatrix} -p & 0 & p \\ -q & 0 & q \\ -p & 0 & p \end{bmatrix}$ the contract of \blacksquare . The contract of the \blacksquare . The contract of the \blacksquare . The contract of the \blacksquare . The contract of the \blacksquare . The contract of the \blacksquare . The contract of the

It may also be desirable to *normalise the response* (output) of the operator. For example, to require that for the ideal step edge of unit height the output be 1. The ideal edge has 0's on one side and 1's on the other side, therefore

$$
2p + q = 1
$$

and the mask has a single free parameter p .

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n-p & 0 & p \\
2p-1 & 0 & 1-2p \\
-p & 0 & p\n\end{vmatrix}
$$

For example

- Prewitt mask: $p = \frac{1}{2}$
- Sobel mask: $p = \frac{1}{4}$
- \bullet ...

compass or provided to the company of the

Magnitude =
$$
\max_{k} \{|g_k|\}
$$
, $k = 0, 1, ..., 7$.

gk - outputs of a masked designed to make a series edge orientations. Each mask is a rotation of the initial mask

Edge orientation is defined by the rotation of the mask that yields the largest gradient magnitude

Compass Gradients (North). Each clockwise circular shift of elements about the center rotates the gradient direction by

Note- All of the above masks satisfy the symmetry and the zero sum constraints. The first two do not satisfy the antisymmetry constraint, that is, do not assume the antisymmetric sigmoid shape of the edge intensity profile.

 $\overline{1}$

Eight rotated masks for operator $No.3$ (Prewitt-like):

	$1 \t1 \t1 \t+ 1 \t1 \t0 \t \nwarrow$	
-1 -1 -1	$0 \t 0 \t 0 \t (N) \t 1 \t 0 \t -1 \t (NW)$ $0 -1 -1$	
$1 \t 0 \t -1$	$1 \t0 \t-1 \t\t\t\leftarrow \t0 \t-1 \t-1 \t\t\swarrow$ $1 \t0 \t-1 \t(W) \t1 \t0 \t-1 \t(SW)$ $1\quad1\quad0$	
-1 0 1	-1 0 1 \rightarrow 0 1 1 \nearrow -1 0 1 (E) -1 0 1 (NE) -1 -1 0	
$0 \quad 0 \quad (S)$ 0 $\mathbf{1}$ $1 \quad 1$	-1 -1 -1 \downarrow -1 -1 0 \searrow -1 0 1 (SE) -0 1 1	

Angular resolution: 7.

Larger masks \Rightarrow Higher angular resolution.

Alternative solution- De ne mutually orthogonal masks orthogonal gradients

Laplace Operator: $g(x, y) = \Delta u(x, y) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$

$$
\text{Discrete Laplace Operators} \boxed{1} \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 8 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{array} \right]
$$

and the contract of \mathbf{r} . The contract of th \mathbf{r} and \mathcal{L} . The contract of th

- \bullet Second derivative \Rightarrow Noise-sensitive.
- \bullet High-pass filter. May respond to spots and narrow lines as well.
- \bullet Yields double edges. Yields down the contract of the
- \bullet As edge detector, often used in combination with Gaussian filter. More sophisticated masks are applied

Use of derivatives for edge detection. Principles of zero-crossing edge detector.

Properties of the zero-crossing operator:

- \bullet Smoothed \Rightarrow Less noise-sensitive.
- \bullet Closed contours obtained as cross-sections of magnitude surface at zero level. (Good? Spurious loops appear!)
- Controlled operator size $\sigma \Rightarrow$ Edge hierarchy (scale-space).
- \bullet Edges may only merge or disappear at rougher scales (larger σ) which facilitates structural analysis
- \bullet Supported by neurophysiological experiments.
- Can be approximated by difference of two Gaussian masks \Rightarrow Efficient separable implementation.
- \bullet May smooth the shape too much: sharp corners are lost.

Convolution mask of the Zero-Crossing Operator:

$$
C\left[\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2} - 1\right] \exp\left[\frac{-r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]
$$

Here C is a normalisation constant, $r = x + y$ is the distance from the mask origin the scale parameters in smaller the smaller the small edges obtained

The zero-crossing mask has the following meaning:

Zero-crossing $=$ Gaussian smoothing $+$ Laplacian edge detection

- = Laplacian of (circularly symmetric) Gaussian $G(r) \equiv \exp\left[\frac{-r^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$
- = Second derivative of $G(r)$ with respect to r.

In digital images, a discrete version of the mask is used. The mask becomes larger for larger For example needs a mask of about pixels with the control of the control of

Edge is indicated in a pixel if a zero-crossing is found in at least one direction across this point. That is, at least one pair of opposite neighboring pixels exists with magnitudes of different signs.

Edge detection criteria:

 Detection- miss no important edges minimise number of spurious responses responses

 $10\,$

- \bullet Localisation: minimise distance between actual and located posi-
- \bullet One response: minimise number of multiple responses to single edge

To fulfill the 'one response' criterion, that is, to remove the 'phantom' edges, two $post\text{-}processing\,\,operations$ are commonly used:

- \bullet *Non-maxima suppression*: delete an edge if it has a stronger neighbor across the edge
- \bullet *Inresholding with hysteresis*: remove phantom edges so as to preserve the contour continuity Do not remove edges whose strength is above a $high\ threshold$. Remove edges whose strength is below a low threshold Remove an edge whose strength is between the two thresholds if it does not have a strong neighbor along the edge

Non-maxima suppression

Principles of post-processing for edge detection. Non-maxima suppression: remove A and B as they have a stronger neighbor C across the edge Thresholding with hysteresis threshold with hysteresis along the edge

(d) Deriche 3x3

e Deriche 7x7

 $|f|$ Deriche $25x25$

Examples edge detection as prewitted as a proposition and the second control of the Control of the Maria School corossing to be a control to derive size α . The deriversity of α and α is a control to be a control of α $f = f$ be defined by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$. The definition of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}$

 $13\,$